

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

L	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
	08/976.41	6 11/21/	97 I·ICKSON	F	7939-000006		

IM31/0825

EXAMINER TENTONI, L

HARNESS DICKEY & PIERCE P O BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS MI 48303

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

08/25/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary				
	08/976416	DICKS	on et al	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit	
	LEO B. TEN	TONI	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet b	eneath the co	orrespondence addre	ss
Period for Response				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	S SET TO EXPIRE THRE	E(3) MONT	H(S) FROM THE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) does not not not not not not not not not not	ays, a response within the statuto default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	ory minimum of the from the mailing	hirty (30) days will be consi g date of this communication	dered time on .
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
☐ This action is FINAL .				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , ³			the merits is closed	in
Disposition of Claims				
X Claim(s) 1 − 1 8		is /are	pending in the applicat	ion.
Of the above claim(s) 9 - 18		is /are	withdrawn from consid	eration.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are	allowed.	
X Claim(s) 1-5,7,8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is /are	rejected.	
Claim(e) 6		is /are	objected to.	
C Objects		are su	bject to restriction or e	lection
☐ Claim(s)		require	ement.	
• •		_		
Application Papers				
Application Papers X See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag	wing Review, PTO-948.	disapprove	d.	
Application Papers X See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	☐ disapprove	d.	
Application Papers X See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	☐ disapprove	d.	
Application Papers X See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	wing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved bjected to by the Examiner.	□ disapprove	d.	
Application Papers X See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved bjected to by the Examiner.	□ disapprove	d.	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	wing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved ojected to by the Examiner.		d.	
Application Papers X See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved bjected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-	·(d).	d.	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948 isapproved ojected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-	·(d). ave been		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948. is approved pjected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents had a second communication.	·(d). ave been		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	wing Review, PTO-948 isapproved ojected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents h	·(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numerice)	wing Review, PTO-948 isapproved ojected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents h	·(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number in the received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved bjected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents have mber) International Bureau (PCT I	·(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numericeived in this national stage application from the	wing Review, PTO-948. is approved pjected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents had a priority documents had been	(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)). nterview Sumi	·	PTO-15

Application/Control Number: 08/976,416 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1732, Examiner Leo Tentoni.

Election/Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-8, drawn to a process of making a vehicle grille guard, classified in class 264, subclass 85.
 - II. Claims 9-18, drawn to a vehicle grille guard, classified in class 293, subclass 142.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are

 distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

 used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

 made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the

 product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as by extruding

 and joining or by thermoforming and joining separate pieces of a vehicle grille guard.

Application/Control Number: 08/976,416 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

5. During a telephone conversation with Michael Schmidt (by Examiner Timm, GAU 1732),

Applicant's representative, on June 24, 1998 a provisional election was made with traverse to

prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-8. Affirmation of this election must be made by

applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 9-18 are withdrawn from further consideration

by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Specification

7. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the heading should be - -

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE - - (37 CFR 1.72(b)). Correction is required. See MPEP

§ 608.01(b).

8. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative

of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Application/Control Number: 08/976,416 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

9. The following title is suggested: PROCESS OF MAKING A VEHICLE GRILLE GUARD.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 1-5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hashimoto et al (Hashimoto, Japan 7-76,252).

Note the English abstract and Figs. 1-8 of Hashimoto.

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 08/976,416

Art Unit: 1732

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Leo Tentoni whose telephone number is (703) 308-3834. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 6:30 A.M. to 3:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Mr. Jan Silbaugh, can be reached on (703) 308-3829. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Leo Tentoni

August 21, 1998

LEO B. TENTONI PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 18732

Page 5